UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/608,491	06/27/2003	Clifford Hannel	I004-P03073US	8644
	7590 04/21/2008 AW GROUP LLP TLAKE BLVD. STE 120		EXAMINER	
310 N. WESTL			BATES, KEVIN T	
WESTLAKE V	TLLAGE, CA 91362		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2153	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/21/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/608,491	HANNEL ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
KEVIN BATES	2153	

	KEVIN BATES	2153						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>01 April 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF								
	☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this							
application, applicant must timely file one of the following	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi	t, or other evidence, w	hich places the					
application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App								
for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (periods:	CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed	within one of the follow	ving time					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	n date of the final rejection							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A		in the final rejection, which	chever is later. In					
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or		FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	ED WITHIN TWO					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	. ,	36(a) and the appropriate	a extension fee					
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex	tension and the corresponding amount	of the fee. The appropria	ate extension fee					
under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the								
set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)		e of the final rejection, ev	ven it timely filed,					
NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	oliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months	s of the date of					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte			appeal. Since a					
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	ithin the time period set forth in 37	CFR 41.37(a).						
AMENDMENTS								
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			cause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•	I E below);						
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in below 	•	ducina or eimplifyina th	ne issues for					
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially rec	ducing or simplifying ti	ie issues ioi					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
NOTE: Regarding claims 39 and 42, the applicant	t admits the claim amendments crea	ate added weight to th	e network					
testing system and network card. These were not		provide a need for ne	<u>w consideration</u>					
of those claims. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))			OTOL 204)					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpilant Amendment (I	310L-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	time also file de a mana desa a m	ot concelling the					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable il submilled in a separale, i	umely filed amendmer	it canceling the					
7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wil	I be entered and an ex	xplanation of					
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro-			TP TO THE TOTAL OF					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>28-44</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-27</u> .								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu								
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and	d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	it or other evidence is	necessary and					
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing	a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the	data of filing a briof w	ill not bo					
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o								
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary								
10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio								
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. 🛮 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
See Continuation Sheet.	(576,65,65)							
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
13.								
/Glenton B. Burgess/								
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2153								
-								

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Regarding claim 28, the applicant argues that Hollis does not disclose a second network which allows outgoing data units to travel. The examiner disagrees, looking at Figure 4 as requested by the applicant, the second network would be the arrow between element 450 and 460. That network allows the channel gateway to forward packets received from the clients, and be forwarded over the second network to the external resource device. The outgoing units of the resources are first forwared over the second network to the channel gateway and into the first network to the clients. This meets the invention was claimed and is also shown in Figure 1 of the instant application, with network 104 and 116 action as network 108.

Regarding claim 36, the applicant argues that Hollis does not teach outgoing units from the network applicance being sent through the second network. Once again as shown in the response to claim 28, the outgoing units must go through the second network to the gateway and through the first network.